Murray City Municipal Council Chambers Murray City, Utah

T

he Municipal Council of Murray City, Utah, met on Tuesday, the 3rd day of October 2006 at 6:30 p.m., for a meeting held in the Murray City Council Chambers, 5025 South State Street, Murray, Utah.

Roll Call consisted of the following:

Krista Dunn Council Chair
Pat Griffiths Council Member
Jim Brass Council Member

Jeff Dredge Council Member - Conducted

Robbie Robertson Council Member

Others Who Attended

Mayor Daniel C. Snarr,
Jan Wells, Chief of Staff
Frank Nakamura
Carol Heales
Shannon Jacobs
Mayor's Office
Mayor's Office
City Attorney
City Recorder
Council Director

Gary Merrill General Manager. Power
Dennis Hamblin Community Development
Doug Hill Public Services, Director
Keith Snarr Economic Development

Gil Rodriguez Fire Chief

Danny Astill Water Department
Don Whetzel Finance Manager
Kim Sorenson Parks & Recreation
Karen Snarr Disability Board
Caroline Kingsley Murray Journal

Keith Larson Bowen Collins & Associates

Ed Cebron FCS Group

Sharon Gillen Beautification Award Recipient Dennis Gillen Beautification Award Recipient Arline Gillen Beautification Award Recipient Cal Gillen Beautification Award Recipient Leonard Riggs Beautification Award Recipient Teri Annartson Beautification Award Recipeint Sherrie Larsen Beautification Award Recipient Ron Larsen **Beautification Award Recipient**

Citizens

A. OPENING CEREMONIES

1. Pledge of Allegiance

Gary Merrill, General Manager, Power Department

2. Approval of Minutes

August 15, 2006 Minutes approved with 2 corrections.

September 19, 2006 Minutes approved

All Ayes.

- 3. Special Recognition(s)
 - a. Consider a Joint Resolution of the Mayor and Municipal Council of Murray City, Utah, Congratulating Taiwan, Republic of China, on its *Double Ten National Day* Celebration.

The joint resolution was read by Mr. Dredge.

Ms. Griffiths made a motion to approve the joint resolution.

Mr. Robertson 2nd the motion.

Call Vote recorded by Ms. Heales

AYE/NAY

A	Mr. Robertson
A	Mr. Brass
A	Ms. Griffiths
A	Ms. Dunn
A	Mr. Dredge

Motion passed 4-0

b. Consider a Joint Resolution of the Mayor and Municipal Council of Murray City, Utah declaring and supporting October 16-20, 2006 as "*Ribbon Week*".

Mr. Dredge read the joint resolution.

Mr. Dredge noted that suicide is the third leading cause of death among 15 to 24 year olds. He said more than 90% of the adolescents who try to commit suicide have a mental disorder.

He added that people who begin smoking before the age of 13 are significantly more likely to be a smoker than those who begin smoking later. They also have problems with alcohol and other drugs. Teenagers whose parents talk to them regularly about the danger of drugs are 42% less likely to use drugs.

Mr. Dredge asked that we do all we can to improve upon these statistics in our community, Murray City, the best city in America.

Ms. Dunn made a motion to approve the joint resolution,

Mr. Robertson 2nd the motion.

Call Vote recorded by Ms. Heales

AYE/NAY

 A
 Mr. Robertson

 A
 Mr. Brass

 A
 Ms. Griffiths

 A
 Ms. Dunn

 A
 Mr. Dredge

Motion passed 5-0

Mayor Snarr presented the joint resolution to Deb Ashton, Community Development & Safe Schools Coordinator. He commented on the excellent job she has done with the program. He added she is well versed in what we need to do to make our community safe. He also said that she helps people understand the adverse effect that drugs and violence.

Ms. Ashton said they are excited to launch into another year of the *Red Ribbon*. Their theme this year is to promote a guideline with students, so we can let students how they will deal with the pressures.

Ms. Ashton continued to say that parents within the school district are getting

involved to help students think of alternative ways to cope. She said there was a study presented last year that involved Murray students in 6th, 8th, 10th & 12th grades since 1988. The survey asked the students if they used substances. The study found that two years ago another cycle began where the number one substance abuse was alcohol, number two substance abuse was tobacco, number three substance abuse was inhalants, and the fourth substance abuse was marijuana.

Ms. Ashton said they are launching a new program and some may be aware of it, it is called "Drug Talk - The New Face of Drugs." She said this year they will have *Prevention Dimensions* training at each school site. She added that it will cover the data plus the regular traditional drugs, and then the new drugs that are being made by companies where marijuana is marketed to children in *Jolly Rancher* form, and methamphetamine and ecstacy are mixed to look like *Skiddles*. She emphasized that every parent in the community should know that these companies are marketing drugs to really young kids, elementary age kids.

Mrs. Griffiths commented about an e-mail received that talked about a form of drug abuse that she had never heard of, called *Dusting*. She said kids inhale *Cleaning Duster* that comes in a can and is used to blow the dust out of computers, etc. Ms. Ashton said it falls under the category of inhalants and you will see it used by the younger students, 6th and 8th graders. She said parents need to know what to look for because the drugs could look like candy, or have the Nike or Pokemon logo on it.

Ms. Ashton pointed out that about 15% of students use substances. She felt it important to note that that means many students don't drink, smoke cigarettes, or use drugs.

Ms. Ashton recognized Merit Medical who donated catalogs and DVD's to each educator in Murray for their prevention training. She added that the rope wrist bands this year will be earned by student so they know why they are celebrating Ribbon Week. She said she hopes every child will be involved in a peace walk or Ribbon Week run at their school. Ms. Ashton handed out information and wrist bands.

c. Consider a Joint Resolution of the Mayor and Municipal Council of Murray City, Utah endorsing and supporting October 4, 2006 as "*Keeping the Lights On! Afterschool" Day*.

Mr. Dredge read the Joint Resolution.

Mr. Robertson made a motion to approve joint resolution.

Ms. Griffiths 2nd the motion.

Call Vote recorded by Ms. Heales

AYE/NAY

A	Mr. Robertson
A	Mr. Brass
A	Ms. Griffiths
A	Ms. Dunn
A	Mr. Dredge

Motion passed 5-0

Mayor Snarr noted if anyone has their lights on after school it will be Sherry VanBibber. He said he doesn't know anyone else who is more involved with what goes on in Murray City and who participates more by helping our youth than Sherry.

Mayor Snarr presented the joint resolution to Sherry VanBibber.

d. Presentation of Murray City's 22nd Annual Beautification Awards Program for 2006.

Presentation: Shade Tree Commission

The Shade Tree Commission representative recognized and honored the individuals who have taken an interest in the City. He said we have a beautiful city because many people go to great efforts to beautify their community. He thanked them on behave of the City and the Shade Tree Commission.

The residents and businesses recognized were:

District 1	Dautel Residence	501 Julep Drive - out of town
District 2	Cross Residence	933 West Riverbend Drive
District 3	Carter Residence	409 Est 4800 South - out of town

District 4 Gillen Residence 5430 South Kenwood Dr.

District 5 Gillen Residence 5665 South 625 East

MAYOR'S AWARD

COMMERCIAL Red Robin Restaurant

316 East Winchester Street (Accepted by Landscaper)

MULTI FAMILY Lake Pines Apts.

4929 South Lake Pines Drive (Accepted by Manager)

SINGLE FAMILY Larsen Residence

5859 South Willow Grove Lane (Accepted by Mr. & Mrs. Larsen)

XERISCAPE Ostrander Residence

902 West Potomac Drive (Accepted by Mrs. Ostrander)

Mayor Snarr noted xeriscape landscaping has been in the news lately and people are being encourged to save the flow of water by having that type of landscaping. He said a large part of IHC Medical Center will be landscaped that way.

Mayor Snarr wanted to thank the Shade Tree Commission and Jim Hendricksen who was the person who started the "Hurry and Clean up Murray."

B.. <u>CITIZEN COMMENTS</u> (Comments are limited to 3 minutes unless otherwise

approved by the Council.)

Mr. Evans stated that he comes here not only as a Murray citizen, but specifically to thank the City for their help with the *Bikes for Kids* special event. He said he servied on the *Bikes for Kids* board this year and the event wouldn't have come together without serious City help especially from Phil Markham, Gary Merrill, and the Fire & Police departments.

C. CONSENT AGENDA

- 1. Consider confirmation of the Mayor's reappointment of Bill Marsh as an atlarge member of the Board of Appeals for a term expiring November 1, 2009.
- 2. Consider confirmation of the Mayor's appointment of Tim Taylor as an atlarge member of the Planning and Zoning Commission to complete the unexpired term of David Hunter, which term expires January 15, 2007.

Mayor Snarr noted that Mr. Taylor is an Engineer and he is out of town today, but will make arrangements to attend 95% of the meetings.

Mr. Brass made a motion to approve the reappointment and appointment.

Ms. Griffiths 2nd the motion.

Call Vote recorded by Ms. Heales

AYE/NAY

A	Mr. Robertson
<u>A</u>	Mr. Brass
A	Ms. Griffiths
A	Ms. Dunn
A	Mr. Dredge

Motion passed 5-0

PUBLIC HEARING #0632 Consider an Ordinance amending Section 13.08.020 of the

Murray City Municipal Code relating to Water Rates & Consider and Ordinance amending Section 13.32.060 of the Murray City Municipal Code Relating to Sewer Rates

a. <u>Staff and sponsor presentations, public comment and discussion prior to</u> Council action on the following matter:

Consider an Ordinance amending Section 13.08.020 of the <u>Murray City Municipal Code</u> relating to Water Rates.

b. Consider an Ordinance amending Section 13.32.060 of the Murray City

Municipal Code Relating to Sewer Rates

Staff Presentation:

Doug Hill, Director of Public Services

Mr. Hill started by giving a brief introduction. He said four years ago the financial health of the water fund and the sewer fund was not very good. He said that due to this fact, four years ago the City implemented a new rate structure in both the water and sewer funds. He added that at that time the rates structure for water included a winter and a summer volume rate charge. Mr. Hill stated it was the first time the City had ever done anything like that before.

Mr. Hill continued by saying a four -year graduated, rate structure which had minor increases, anywhere between 2% and 4%, on an annual basis was implemented for water and sewer. He said these rate structures were due to expire, in fact, one did last year and the other will expire this year. Mr. Hill said they decided to look at the financial condition of the funds again to see if conditions had changed. The City asked Ed Cebron, a consultant with FCS Group out of Seattle, Washington, and Keith Larsen, a consultant with Bowen & Collins located in Salt Lake County, to look at the budgets and rates, and make recommendations for the next four to five years. Mr. Hill made note that as always, this was done with involvement of the Mayor, Don Whetzel, Finance Director, Phil Markham, Deputy Director of Public Serives, and Danny Astill, Water Superintendent.

Keith Larsen, Bowen & Collins - Water Rate Consultants

Mr. Larsen stated he was the individual who did the rate study four years ago in 2002 and one of the recommendations of the study was to come back and look at things in three to four years. He said this allowed for a checkup to see how good they did with their planning four years ago, and what kind of changes needed to be made.

Mr. Larsen continued by stating that in 2002 they looked at things in detail, and this time were able to keep things simple by updating water use and revenue requirements. He said they kept the seasonal water rate structure, and kept the practice of not having any monthly allowance which is a conservation-oriented practice. Murray customers only pay for what they use and this creates some incentive to reduce water usage.

Mr. Larsen said they kept the single customer class, cities use different rates for residential, commercial, parks, churches, etc.. He said the Council at that time thought it was the fairest thing to do. Mr. Larsen said they did not include a recalculation of the impact fee. He added that they haven't updated the Capital Facilities Plan since the Master Plan was done, so they kept that the same.

Mr. Larsen recalled that the last major rate increase before 2002 was back in the '90's, when water sales revenues remained flat while revenue requirements continued to grow with inflation and growth in the City, etc.. He said in 2002, his firm came back and said the water fund was incurring some debt and pointed out that the City was falling behind in its revenue needs. He said they recommended a large increase, 36%, that was a little bit painful for residents at the time.

Mr. Larsen said this time they looked at the next few years, and they could see a little bit of a gap. He said there were a couple of reasons for that gap. The first reason was that in 2002 they projected 1.9% growth based on the best numbers they had at the time. The actual growth in numbers of connections was only .7% annually which resulted in less impact fee revenue from those connections. The second reason was due to conservation. Mr. Larsen said, they projected 17%, which they thought was going to be a good substantial growth to meet, but Murray citizens did well and conserved 23%. He said this too was a hit on the revenue. The third reason, he said, was the way the administrative costs were administered. Mr. Larsen said this was not an increase in cost; it was a difference in the way it was calculated. He said the administrative costs were no longer covered by the general fund. They are now covered by the water fund. That created a 22% increase above the 2002 projections.

Mr. Larsen said to get Murray City back on track, Bowen & Collins has proposed that the City increase base rates from \$6.20 up to \$7.21 over the next five years. They also recommended increasing the winter volume rate from \$00.77 to \$00.89 per hundred cubic feet (748 gallons) and the summer volume rate increase from \$1.09 to \$1.28. He said these changes would increase the average annual bill from about \$380.00 per residential connection to \$445.00.

Mr. Larsen concluded by stating Murray can avoid a 36% increase that it had four years by implementing these increases and reducing the gap between expected revenue and expenses.

Ms. Dunn said if we haven't learned anything else from our residents, one thing that has been said loud and clear over the years to us has been, "We would much rather have 3 or 4% increase for a couple of years than 36%, 40%, or 60% once every 10 to 15 years." She added that it is tough to do this over and over again. She would rather see this type of increase, than wait and see a big one.

Ms. Griffiths echoed what Ms. Dunn said. She said that Mr. Larsen has done many of these studies and the cities have said, please plan ahead and give us small increases so they can adjust their budgets accordingly.

Ed Cebron, FCS Group - Sewer Rate Consultants

Mr. Cebron said his firm has a history of looking at the sewer rates which dates back to 1999 when the Council adopted an increase in excess of 100% to correct the financial difficulties at that time. He said the advantages of keeping current far outweighed the merits of delay when those have been compounded into massive increases. He added that his firm checked to see where the utility was going and looked at options to address the upcoming needs.

Mr. Cebron stated that the key driver looking ahead in the forecast for waste water is the nearly \$10 million needed for the capital improvement program. A number of those projects are in the near term. He said the forecast in the study showed that close to 2/3, about 60% of the improvements could be cash funded. Part of this comes from rates and a larger part from impact fees imposed on new development.

Mr. Cebron said to complete the funding for the improvements they have projected almost \$4 million of new debt and that would add as much as

\$350,000 in debt service. He added that this was a base case looking at minimal increases and waiting until the financial needs grow this year.

Mr. Cebron shared the options that rate phasing in small steps would do to dramatically improve the picture.

- 1. Revenue bonds are the most expensive form of financing;
- 2. Assisting financing through the State at a lower interest cost;
- 3. Revenue bonds now could offer interest rates somewhere below the 6% as we are using as an assumption.
- 4. Build in inflation both to the operating costs of the utility and a slightly higher escalated inflation for construction costs.
- 5. Revenue growth at about 3% per year, and incorporate the City's *In Lieu Of Tax Transfer* at 8% as part of the cost of the utility.

Mr. Cebron said they arranged the options for increases for over a number of years that would meet all of the needs including the capital program and operations. The options they ran ranged from 2% to 3% as annual increases. The key outcome is that of 3.8% if you wait until the last minute to do each rate increase. He added that by doing 2% a year reduces the impact by about \$500,000 that you would need to borrow. If the City steps up to the aggressive 3.5% a year increase the amount needed to borrow is just more than \$1 million, meaning you can cash fund most of these improvements and at the end of the time frame there is that much less debt service. That is less than \$100,000 a year ongoing debt service for the remainder of the 20-year forecast, rather than \$355,000 a year.

Mr. Cebron pointed out that by stepping the increases in sooner, they end earlier. Accumulated increase, along with the duration shows that rather than eight years of increases needed to keep up, the consultants are only projecting five years at 3.5% and the accumulated impact is less than 20% over that period of time.

Mr. Cebron's recommendation and management's recommendation would to consider the 3.5% as a way to deal with the financial needs facing the sewer utility now and set the stage for more stable rates well into the future.

Mr. Cebron summarized the recommendations. The consultants recommended a 3.5% increase to generate a cash flow and help fund the capital program which would reduce borrowing. He added that if there proves to be a delay or phasing of projects, the City may want to reconsider the rate forecast because those delays allow the City to increase the amount of cash funding for the projects. Mr. Cebron said his firm would suggest looking at rates again in three to five years to see if the rates are on track.

Mr. Cebron concluded by stating the sewer line improvements needed for the Fireclay RDA have not been included in this study. He said that project would have its own impact.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

b. <u>Council consideration of the above matters to follow Public Hearing.</u>

Ms. Dunn said the study indicated that the City's water fund dipped below projections. She asked Mr. Hill if the City would be on track in about three years. She wanted to know if the City's water fund reserves are sufficient.

Mr. Hill responded by stating he felt both the water fund and the sewer fund are healthy. He said Mr. Whetzel indicated to him that we have more than \$3.4 million currently in the water fund and \$1.5 million in the waste/sewer fund. Mr. Hill added that the sewer fund is not quite as healthy particularly if they move forward with the Fireclay project, which again was not anticipated. He said they want to move ahead with the project because it will not create an adverse effect as long as the proposed rate increases over the next four years are approved by the Council.

Mr. Brass made a motion to adopt the Ordinance amending Section13.08.020 of the <u>Murray City Municipal Code</u> Relating to Water Rates and adopt the rdinance amending Section 13.32.060 of the <u>Murray City Municipal Code</u> Relating to Sewer Rates.

Ms. Dunn 2nd the motion.

Call Vote recorded by Ms. Heales

AYE/NAY

<u>A</u>	Mr. Robertson
A	Mr. Brass
A	Ms. Griffiths
A	Ms. Dunn
A	Mr. Dredge

Motion passed 5-0

PUBLIC HEARING #0632

6:50 p.m.

Consider an Ordinance relating to Zoning; amends the Zoning Map for property located at 4255 South 300 West, Murray City, Utah from M-G-C (Manufacturing General Conditional District) to T-O-D (Transit Oriented Development District). (Arrowhead Station)

æ

Consider an Ordinance amending
Section 17.146.020 of the
Murray City Municipal Code
relating to the boundaries of the
Transit Oriented Development
Zoning District (TOD). (Arrowhead Station)

a. Staff and sponsor presentations, public comment and discussion prior to Council action on the following matter:

Consider an Ordinance relating to Zoning; amends the Zoning Map for property located at 4255 South 300 West, Murray City, Utah from M-G-C (Manufacturing General Conditional District) to T-O-D (Transit Oriented Development District). (Arrowhead Station)

Consider an Ordinance amending Section 17.146.020 of the <u>Murray City</u> <u>Municipal Code</u> relating to the boundaries of the Transit Oriented Development Zoning District (TOD). (Arrowhead Station)

Staff Presentation: Dennis Hamblin, Community Development Director

Mr. Hamblin stated this item was reviewed by the Planning & Zoning Commission on August 17, 2006. The proposal was to request a TOD zone with the idea to develop approximately 471 units of a condominium development. A preliminary concept plan was submitted to give the Commission an idea of how it would turn out if the zone change was granted.

Mr. Hamblin said the property is currently in a manufacturing zone (MG Zone) General Plan mixed use. He added that the request comes with a negative recommendation from the Planning Commission with a 6-1 vote. Mr. Hamblin said the nay vote was Jeff Evans, Planning Commission Chair.

Mr. Hunter, applicant, noted since that meeting he has received further information regarding the extension of the Fireclay road from the existing TRAX Station. He said he has been told it will probably be put on hold. He stated this changes a few things with regard with what he wants to do with this particular site. He said rather than withdraw his application, he believed it deserved further study and further discussion with the architect and some other people who are involved with the project. He asked that the Council to table the item and reschedule it for a future date.

Ms. Dunn asked Mr. Hunter if he wanted to table it so he can come back with a different plan, or a different request, or a zone change.

Mr. Hunter replied by stating it would be a different plan with the same zone change request.

Ms. Dunn stated, as a Council, the only thing that they hear is land use or zoning. She told Mr. Hunter that if there are any changes to the plan, it must go back through the entire process again. She did not think it made any sense to table the request.

Mr. Hunter noted he needs to find out if he can still do the project without the extension of Fireclay going either over or under the railroad tracks. He said he would like to know if there is a possible method that would allow him to still use this particular parcel of ground and become part of the TOD.

Mr. Brass confirmed that the Council does not look at projects when doing zone changes because projects can often change. He told Mr. Hunter that what he decides to do with his plan is a separate issue

PUBLIC COMMENT

Paul Sundberg, 4312 South 300 West, Murray, UT

Mr. Sundberg expressed his support for the development of the property. He attended the planning & zoning meeting where people who live south of the proposed project said they did not like it. He pointed out that he too lives to the south of the project, but he felt it was a good thing. Mr. Sundberg said he enjoys jogging down 3rd West and to pass something like the proposed project would be a lot nicer than a barking dog. He said he has met numerous people who have asked him how to get to TRAX because the current way is very inconvenient.

Jeff Evans, Planning & Zoning Commission

Mr. Evans wanted to explain his renegade vote. He said he would talk about land use only. He said he had the fortunate experience of being involved in the Fireclay project from the beginning, all the way back to the Envision Utah days. He said those involved spent multiple sessions drawing out what they thought would be a good use for the area. They decided a walkable circle going out from the TRAX station would be a good use of the property. They also thought punching through to Fireclay and to 3rd West was an integral part of the plan to make it work.

Mr. Evans said he heard the price tag to do the project and still thought of it as an inexpensive composition, when you consider the quality people that would come on board to build quality projects on both sides. He felt demand would help firm such an opinion in the long run.

Mr. Evans commented that he has always thought of Fireclay as a walkable part of the TOD area. He concluded by stating that it takes bodies to make a TOD work. He noted that if there isn't a good amount of people in a dense area, all the businesses and activities that they desire to have in this walkable area will never happen. He did not think the project was a bad alternative, as far as one of the few blank canvasses in the City.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

b. Council consideration of the above matters to follow Public Hearing

Mr. Brass did not dispute the fact that bodies are a good thing, his concern was whether the TOD was transit. He said he too was involved with the TOD from the very beginning. He said at one of the meetings they drew two circles, one was 1/4 mile and the other one was ½ mile. The consensus of those at the meeting was that most people would walk 1/4 of a mile. He pointed out that the east side of the Union Pacific line is a 1/4 mile.

Mr. Brass continued by stating that as the Chair of the RDA, he knows the estimated budget to go over/under Union Pacific is in excess of \$20 million. He added that the estimated budget to do the RDA was set at \$32 million and that was an aggressive figure. He felt that with the tunnel being five to six times what they thought it would be, the budgeted amount limits how Fireclay can be developed. He said the RDA is looking at alternatives, and it doesn't mean the project isn't going to go, it means the idea is going to be a little different.

Getting back to TOD, Mr. Brass said the T is for transit. He said when you cross Union Pacific and you look at that property there are not a lot of transit options. He said rather than walking, you have to cross the railroad tracks. He added that the TOD has specific design requirements, such as ceiling heights. The ceiling heights are quite a bit higher to promote commercial development on the first floor, although it can be commercial or residential. Mr Brass felt that the project Mr. Hunter proposed looks entirely residential. He pointed out to Mr. Hunter that there are setbacks from the sidewalks and street design issues.

Ms. Dunn said those were her sentiments as well. She questioned whether in our climate if people would walk that far and cross a bridge to get to TRAX. She didn't think it was impossible, but she felt something would have to be developed to make people want to walk in that direction. She agreed with Mr. Brass that Mr. Hunter may be seeking the wrong zone because there were so many problems with his plan fitting into a TOD zone. Ms. Dunn felt Mr. Hunter would be much better off seeking another zone.

Mr. Dredge noted their consultants for the TOD area discussed, in their last meeting, that permeability would be the key to success. Due to this, Mr Dredge questioned whether one road posted through there would be classified as a permeable development.

Mr. Brass said he was not afraid of the density or the parking issues because, if you are familiar with the Inverness property, the density which is proposed for the project would be almost triple the number of buildings in Inverness. He

pointed out that the project had the same footprint, and therefore was a very dense project.

Ms. Griffiths made a motion to deny the application

Ms. Dunn 2nd the motion.

Call Vote recorded by Ms. Heales

AYE/NAY

A	Mr. Robertson
A	Mr. Brass
A	Ms. Griffiths
A	Ms. Dunn
A	Mr. Dredge

Motion passed 5-0

PUBLIC HEARING #

The matter regarding waiver of fees for the South Valley Boys and Girls Club tentatively scheduled has been withdrawn by the sponsor, therefore, there will be no public hearing or action.

The matter regarding waiver of fees for the South Valley Boys and Girls Club scheduled was withdrawn by the sponsor, and no public hearing was held or action taken.

E UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None scheduled.

F. NEW BUSINESS

1. Consider a Resolution authorizing the issuance and sale of not more than \$10,000,000 aggregate principal amount of Electric Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2006, of Murray City, Utah; fixing the maximum aggregate principal amount of the bonds, the

maximum number of years over which the bonds may mature, the maximum interest rate which the bonds may bear, and the maximum discount from par at which the bonds may be sold; providing for the publication of a Notice of Bonds to be Issued; providing for the running of a contest period; and related matters.

Staff Presentation: Don Whetzel, Finance Director

Mr. Whetzel stated the actual parameters as defined in the resolution. He said they were: \$10 million, maximum number of years are 16, maximum interest rate 5%, maximum discount from par 2.5%. He said publication and notification of bonds to be issued and other related matters were included.

Mr. Whetzel explained to the Council that the City would take out a portion of 2001 bonds that would mature after June 1, 2015 and refund them. He said after bonds are refunded, the monies would go into an escrow fund to be handled by Zions Bank. He stated that as those bonds from 2015 mature, they would make the payments for those bonds out of the escrow fund.

Mr. Whetzel made note that the net present value in savings to the City based on today's rate, the ten-year treasury closed at 4.61%, which would be \$600,000 after cost of issuance, which is \$200,000. He said that figure was only an estimate and that the City won't be going to the market on the bonds until November 14, 2006. Mr. Whetzel introduced Jim Matsumori, bond council from G. J. Baum, and said he was there to answer questions.

Ms. Griffiths made a motion to approve resolution as written.

Mr. Brass 2nd the motion.

Call Vote recorded by Ms. Heales

AYE/NAY

A Mr. Robertson
A Mr. Brass
A Ms. Griffiths
A Ms. Dunn
A Mr. Dredge

Motion passed 5-0

2. Consider an Ordinance amending Section 13.44.020(A) and repealing Section 16.16.330 of the <u>Murray City Municipal Code</u> relating to Engineering Fees for Subdivisions and Planned Unit Developments.

Staff Presentation: Doug Hill, Public Services Director

Mr. Hill said recently the Council amended the ordinance related to planning & zoning fees, which gave the Mayor the authority to approve a fee schedule submitted to him which was to be based upon the City's costs to administer various applications and plan reviews. Since then, Mr. Hill said the department examined both planning & zoning fees and engineering fees and found that modifications to the engineering fees needed to be made to avoid duplication of charges that were on the books.

Mr. Hill said the recommended change to the ordinance was similar to the ordinance amendment which the Council approved for planning and zoning fees. He said the change would give the Mayor the authority to approve a fee schedule submitted to him, and again, cover costs associated with review of plans and applications. Mr. Hill said he gave a copy of the fee schedule to the Council earlier. He said there were no fee increases, just clarifications.

Mr. Griffiths made a motion to approve the ordinance.

Ms. Dunn 2nd the motion.

Call Vote recorded by Ms. Heales

AYE/NAY

A Mr. Robertson
A Mr. Brass
A Ms. Griffiths
A Ms. Dunn

A Mr. Dredge

Motion passed 5-0

G. MAYOR

- 1. Report
 - ✓ Mayor Snarr said Murray's fire department, police department, and power

department stepped up as usual and made a great event happen on September 30th at IHC's parking lot at 53rd South.

Ms. Dunn added that the entire community stepped up to help, including the Rotary Club, the Boys and Girls Club and IHC.

✓ Mayor Snarr attended a ground breaking ceremony for an 84 unit housing project located on Gregson Avenue in the County. The housing units are for the chronically homeless population. The Mayor said it will be a place where they can get their feet back on the ground. The homeless will be provided all the necessary services such as mental health care, health care services, job tutoring, and help to obtain their GED.

H. ADJOURNMENT

Recorded by Carol Heales, City Recorder